Error catastrophe in populations under similarity-essential recombination
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Abstract

Organisms are often more likely to exchange genetic information with others that are similar to themselves. One of the most widely accepted mechanisms of RNA virus recombination requires substantial sequence similarity between the parental RNAs and is termed similarity-essential recombination. This mechanism may be considered analogous to assortative mating, an important form of non-random mating that can be found in animals and plants. Here we study the dynamics of haplotype frequencies in populations evolving under similarity-essential recombination. Haplotypes are represented by a genome of \( B \) biallelic loci and the Hamming distance between individuals is used as a criterion for recombination. We derive the evolution equations for the haplotype frequencies assuming that recombination does not occur if the genetic distance is larger than a critical value \( G \) and that mutation occurs at a rate \( \mu \) per locus. Additionally, uniform crossover is considered. Although no fitness is directly associated to the haplotypes, we show that frequency-dependent selection emerges dynamically and governs the haplotype distribution. A critical mutation rate \( \mu_c \) can be identified as the error threshold transition, beyond which this selective information cannot be stored. For \( \mu < \mu_c \) the distribution consists of a dominant sequence surrounded by a cloud of closely related sequences, characterizing a quasispecies. For \( \mu > \mu_c \) the distribution becomes uniform, with all haplotypes having the same frequency. In the case of extreme assortativeness, where individuals only recombine with others identical to themselves (\( G = 0 \)), the error threshold results \( \mu_c = 1/4 \), independently of the genome size. For weak assortativity (\( G = B - 1 \)) \( \mu_c = 2^{-(B+1)} \) and for the case of no assortativity (\( G = B \)) \( \mu_c = 0 \). We compute the mutation threshold for \( 0 < G < B \) and show that, for large \( B \), it depends only on the ratio \( G/B \). We discuss the consequences of these results for recombination in viruses and for speciation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Mate choice by phenotypic similarity, or assortative mating, is a form of non-random mating that plays important roles in evolution and speciation. The mechanism has been explored in several mathematical and computational models and is often implemented as occurring in a Mendelian trait determined by a single locus [1–3] or in a single quantitative trait determined by multiple loci with additive effects [4–8].

Mate choice, however, often relies on multiple cues that are determined genetically [9–12], such that the effect of the state of these traits on phenotypic similarity is additive [13–15]. In the past twenty years different models have described this type of assortative mating [16–22], which may also be interpreted as a multilocus generalization of the Bateson-Dobzhansky-Muller model of intrinsic genetic incompatibilities [23].

Interestingly, a form of assortativity also occurs in virus populations. Contrary to what was initially thought, recombination is now considered to be a general phenomenon in RNA viruses and might play a major role as a driving force in virus variability and evolution [24]. Although the mechanisms of viral RNA recombination are only now beginning to be elucidated, the most widely accepted mechanism of viral recombination is the enzyme responsible for replication switches from one sequence to another during the synthesis generating a recombinant genome [25, 26]. This sequence switch is known to be dependent of the extent of similarity between the recombining genomes [27–29] and referred to as similarity-essential recombination [30]. Although there is strong evidence that the genetic exchange promoted by recombination can offer advantages, random recombination destroys more good alleles than it creates, leading to a selective pressure towards close similarity in the process [31].

In this paper we develop a theory for the evolution of recombinant haplotypes subjected to point mutations and similarity-essential recombination, but no other selective pressures. Each sequence will be represented by a binary string of length $B$ and we will assume that sequences differing in more than $G$ loci do not recombine. This mode of recombination is analogous to the assortative mating that often appears in models of population genetics, but may also represent replication of RNA viruses as described above. We will write the evolution equations for the haplotype frequencies and find their equilibrium solutions. For the case of zero mutation probability we will show that the population evolves to an equilibrium quasispecies where $G$ loci are polymorphic and all the remaining $B - G$ loci get fixed. This
equilibrium configuration is equivalent to that of a population with only $G$ loci evolving under unconstrained recombination. However, which loci will remain polymorphic depends on the initial conditions. For non-zero mutation probability the scenario is more subtle. Our results show that, at least qualitatively, the population under similarity-essential recombination behaves in a way similar to the original quasispecies model for replicating macromolecules [32].

The quasispecies theory was originally developed by Eigen and Schuster to study the evolution of prebiotic RNA molecules exploring the consequences of the mutation-selection dynamics in near-infinite populations. Mutation rates are thought to have been much higher in the early history of life. As a result, equilibrium populations can be described as a distribution of related genotypes known as quasispecies [32, 33]. Later the theory has successfully been applied to the study of viral evolution, especially RNA viruses [34–36].

One of the main results of the quasispecies theory is the existence of a mutation rate above which selection cannot overcome the mutation load (i.e. the error threshold). The amount of information that can be encoded in such evolutionary systems is limited by the genome length, since longer sequences suffer from mutations more than shorter ones. This leads to a logical enigma called Eigen’s paradox [37, 38]: given the mutations rates of this prebiotic scenario, these early genomes would not be long enough to encode the enzymes required to increase replication accuracy [32, 33]. Different mechanisms have been proposed to overcome or alleviate the genome size constraint imposed by the error threshold and warrant stable integration of information contained in the self-replicative units, like the theory of hypercycles [32], group selection models [39] and models incorporating recombination [40–42], and/or more complex genotype-phenotype mapping [43–45].

Here we show that, as in the quasispecies theory, recombinant haplotypes evolving under similarity-essential recombination exhibit two equilibrium regimes separated by a critical mutation rate $\mu_c$. In the first regime, which takes place for $\mu < \mu_c$, a dominant haplotype coexists with a cloud of closely related haplotypes. In the second regime, there is an information crisis and an uniform distribution of haplotypes is obtained. Depending on the degree of assortativity, described by the parameter $G$, the error threshold can be as high as $\mu_c = 1/4$ and independent of the genome size, or as low as $\mu_c = 2^{-(B+1)}$, in contrast with the $1/B$ behavior obtained in the original quasispecies model. We compute the mutation threshold for all values of $G$ and show that, for large $B$, it depends only on the ratio $G/B$. 
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II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

We consider a population of haploid individuals with $B$ biallelic loci. The genome of each individual is represented by a string of $B$ binary digits

$$i = i_1 i_2 \ldots i_B$$

where the alleles $i_k$ are either 0 or 1. We introduce the genotypic distance between two haplotypes as the number of different alleles between them:

$$d(i, j) = \sum_{k=1}^{B} |i_k - j_k|.$$  

(2)

Similarity-essential recombination corresponds to forbidding mating if $d(i, j) > G$, where $G \leq B$.

A. Unconstrained recombination ($G = B$)

Using the compact notation $p_i$ for the frequency of haplotype $i = i_1 i_2 \ldots i_B$, the equation determining the frequency $p_i^{t+1}$ in terms of the frequencies at time $t$ assumes the form

$$p_i^{t+1} = \sum_{j,k} c_{\mu}(j, k; i) p_j^t p_k^t$$

(3)

where $c_{\mu}(j, k; i)$ is the probability that individuals with haplotypes $j$ and $k$ produce a recombinant haplotype $i$ if the mutation rate is $\mu$, whereas $p_j^t p_k^t$ is the probability of an encounter of haplotypes $j$ and $k$ at time $t$. To determine these coefficients we assume independent segregation (uniform crossover) and look at one locus at a time. For a given allele $i_n$ there are three possibilities for $j_n$ and $k_n$:

(a) $j_n = k_n \neq i_n$.

In this case the allele transmitted to the recombinant sequence is $(1 - i_n)$ and it contributes to $p_i$ only if it mutates to $i_n$. Therefore it contributes a factor $\mu$ to the probability. We call $\alpha$ the number of loci satisfying this condition:

$$\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{B} [1 - |j_n - k_n|] |i_n - j_n|.$$  

(4)
(b) $j_n = k_n = i_n$.

The allele transmitted is $i_n$ if it does not mutate. It contributes a factor $(1 - \mu)$ and the number of loci in this case is $\beta$:

$$\beta = \sum_{n=1}^{B} [1 - |j_n - k_n|] [1 - |i_n - j_n|]$$

(c) $j_n \neq k_n$.

The allele transmitted is either $i_n$ or $1 - i_n$. It contributes a factor $\frac{1}{2}(1 - \mu) + \frac{1}{2}\mu = \frac{1}{2}$. The number of loci of this type is

$$\gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{B} |j_n - k_n| = d(j, k).$$

It can be checked that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = B$ and that

$$\alpha = \frac{d(i, j) + d(i, k) - d(j, k)}{2}.$$  

With these considerations equation (3) becomes

$$P_{i}^{t+1} = \sum_{j,k} P_{j}^{t} P_{k}^{t} (1 - \mu)^{B - \alpha - \gamma} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$  

It is interesting to report the two limiting cases $\mu = 0$ and $\mu = 1/2$. In the first case only haplotypes with $\alpha = 0$ contribute to offspring and $d(j, k) = d(i, j) + d(i, k)$, showing that the sum of the genetic distances from the recombinant sequence to each original sequence is the genetic distance between the parents. If $\mu = 1/2$, corresponding to maximum randomness, each pair of parental genomes contribute equally with weight $2^{-B}$.

The normalization condition is

$$\sum_{i} c_{\mu}(j, k; i) = \sum_{i} (1 - \mu)^{B - \alpha - \gamma} \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1$$

and can be easily verified explicitly (see Electronic Supplementary Material, section I).

B. Similarity-essential recombination ($G \neq B$)

When genomes whose alleles differ in more than $G$ loci are considered incompatible for recombination, equations (8) have to be modified. In this case the sums over $j$ and $k$ on the right hand side have to be restricted to parental sequences with $\gamma = d(j, k) \leq G$ (see eq.(2))
and several terms are removed from the sum. Consequently, the equation has to be modified
in order to satisfy the normalization condition \( \sum_i p_i = 1 \). Normalization is ensured with the
introduction of an auxiliary function \( \Phi \) such that

\[
p_{i}^{t+1} = \sum_{j, k, \gamma \leq G} p_j^t p_k^t c_{\mu}(j, k; i) - p_i^t (\Phi - 1).
\]

(10)

Summing over \( i \) on both sides and using that \( \sum_i c_{\mu}(j, k; i) = \sum_i p_i = 1 \) we find

\[
\Phi = \sum_{j, k, \gamma \leq G} p_j^t p_k^t.
\]

(11)

C. Analogy with the quasispecies theory and the error catastrophe

The quasispecies theory is originally a theory of molecular evolution [32]. In the Eigen
model molecules are represented by binary sequences of length \( L \) and the concentrations \( x_i \)
of each type follow the equation

\[
\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \sum_j x_j f_{ji} q_{ji} - x_i \Phi,
\]

(12)

which assumes that the molecules replicate by cloning with mutations. In Eq. (12) \( f_j \) refers
to the replication rate (hereafter referred to as fitness) and the element of the mutation
matrix \( q_{ji} = (1 - \mu)^{B - d(i, j)} \mu^{d(i, j)} \) gives the probability that a molecule of type \( i \) produces a
molecule of type \( j \) if the mutation probability per digit is \( \mu \). If the master string 00...0 has
fitness \( f_0 > 1 \) and all the remaining ones have fitness \( f_i = 1 \), it can be shown that a cloud of
mutant sequences surrounding and including the fittest master sequence (wild type) settles
in the population if

\[
\mu < \frac{\log f_0}{B} \equiv \mu_c.
\]

(13)

Above the mutation threshold \( \mu_c \) the population can no longer equilibrate in a mutation-
selection balance and the selection information is lost (error catastrophe).

Equation (10), which assumes similarity-essential recombination and discrete time, can
also be written in a similar form as the discrete time version of the Eigen’s equation

\[
p_{i}^{t+1} - p_i^t = \sum_j p_j^t F_j Q_{ji} - p_i^t \Phi
\]

(14)
with

\[ Q_{ji} = \frac{\sum_{d(k,j) \leq G} p_k c_{\mu}(j, k; i)}{\sum_{d(k,j) \leq G} p_k} \]  

(15)

and

\[ F_i = \sum_{d(i,j) \leq G} p_j. \]  

(16)

\( Q_{ji} \) is the average probability that a haplotype \( j \) produces \( i \) by recombining with all compatible haplotypes \( k \). At this point the definition of \( F_i \) as the fitness of individuals of type \( i \) comes about naturally, and is readily interpreted as the fraction of compatible individuals in the population. Note that \( \Phi = \sum_{j,k,\gamma \leq G} p_j^l p_k^l = \sum_j p_j F_j \) is, therefore, the average fitness of the population.

It is important to point out that the model assumes that all individuals are selectively equivalent regardless of their identities (neutral model). However, equation (14) demonstrates that frequency dependent selection arises from the similarity-essential recombination and can be quantified by equation (16). The fitness of an individual depends not on its specific haplotype but on the population composition. More importantly it is large if the individual is amongst compatible pairs (with which recombination is possible) and low if it is surrounded by incompatible mates. This idea concurs with the proper definition of quasispecies, at which natural selection is no longer directed toward a single variant but instead acts on the whole haplotype distribution [32].

III. RESULTS

A. Unconstrained recombination

When \( G = B \) recombination is possible between every pair of individuals. In this case, since the alleles in each locus are segregated independently and there are no correlations between them, the result is that, for \( \mu = 0 \) the allele frequencies remain constant from the first generation and the haplotype frequencies asymptotically reach the linkage equilibrium. For \( \mu \neq 0 \) the haplotypes converge to the uniform distribution, and thereby all frequencies are equal to \( p_i = 2^{-B} \). These results are well known [46, 47] and are demonstrated in the present context in the Electronic Supplementary Material, section II.
B. Extreme assortativeness

In the case of extreme assortativeness, $G = 0$, individuals only recombine with others identical to themselves. In this case $\gamma = d(j, k) = 0$, $\alpha = d(i, j)$, $F_j = p_j$ and $Q_{ij} = q_{ij}$. Equation (14) becomes

$$p_{i}^{t+1} - p_{i}^{t} \equiv \sum_{j} \left( p_{j}^{t} \right)^2 q_{ji} - p_{i}^{t} \Phi$$

which is identical to (12) with $F_i = p_i$. For $\mu = 0$ the equation simplifies to

$$p_{i}^{t+1} - p_{i}^{t} \equiv \left( p_{i}^{t} \right)^2 - p_{i}^{t} \Phi$$

and the only stationary solutions are:

(a) the single haplotype solution $p_{i_0} = 1$ and $p_i = 0$ for $i \neq i_0$ and;

(b) the uniform solution $p_i = 1/2^B$ for all $i$.

For small mutations a cloud of haplotypes similar to $i_0$ is generated. Which haplotype survives, along with its mutant cloud, is determined by the initial population [47–49]. As the mutation rate increases the cloud spreads and, at $\mu = \mu_c$, the uniform solution becomes stable. The mutation threshold can be calculated and results $\mu_c = 1/4$, independent of the size of the genome $B$ (see Electronic Supplementary Material, section VI). This should be compared with equation (13), where the threshold becomes small as the genome size $B$ increases. If we define an effective fitness $F_0$ as the fitness of the corresponding quasispecies, whose value is constant, that will result in the same error threshold, we find that $1/4 = \log (F_0)/B$ and so

$$F_0 = e^{B/4}.$$ (19)

The upper panel in figure 1 shows the frequencies of the haplotypes as a function of the mutation probability $\mu$. The scenario displayed in the plot is essentially the pattern exhibited by the quasispecies model: a dominant haplotype surrounded by a cloud of closely related haplotypes.

Interestingly, for $G = 1$ both expressions (12) and (15) work, even though $Q_{ij} \neq q_{ij}$. The reason for this coincidence is that for $G = 1$ reproduction occurs effectively with a single locus and is equivalent to cloning one of the original haplotypes with equal probability. Recombination affects only genetic exchanges between individuals with $d(j, k) \geq 2$ [47]. Indeed, for $G \geq 2$ only (14) is true.
A proof that the uniform distribution is always a solution for any value of $G$ is presented in the Electronic Supplementary Material, section V.

C. Error threshold for arbitrary $G$ and $B$

Explicit stationary solutions of equations (10) or (14) are not known, except for $B = 2$ [47]. Because of the mating restriction imposed by the condition $d(i, j) \leq G$, the loci are not independent and the dynamics of allele and haplotype frequencies are more complex and richer. For zero mutation probability the haplotype frequencies converge to a distribution where $B - G$ loci are monomorphic (fixed in either 0 or 1) and the remaining $G$ loci are polymorphic in linkage equilibrium. Which loci become polymorphic depends on the initial conditions and there are many possibilities. Indeed, for a population with this type of haplotype distribution all individuals have maximum fitness $F_i = 1$, since the genetic distance between any pair satisfies $d(i, j) \leq G$ (see equation (16)). Moreover, $\Phi = 1$ and equations (10) become identical to (8) with $B \rightarrow G$.

However, the introduction of a small mutation rate $\mu > 0$ generates mutants that decrease the fitness of all resident types, resulting in further dynamics that converges to a single dominant type plus a set of low frequency mutants. As $\mu$ increases the distribution widens and the uniform distribution, where $p_i = 2^{-B}$ for all haplotypes, eventually takes over. The mutation threshold for small values of $G$ and for the limit case $G = B - 1$ are:

\[
\mu_c(B, G = 0) = \frac{1}{4}
\]

\[
\mu_c(B, G = 1) = \frac{(B - 1)}{4B}
\]

\[
\mu_c(B, G = 2) = \frac{(B - 1)(B - 2)}{4(B^2 - B + 2)}
\]

\[
\mu_c(B, G = 3) = \frac{(B - 1)(B - 2)(B - 3)}{4(B^3 - 3B^2 + 8B)}
\]

\[
\mu_c(B, G = 4) = \frac{(B - 1)(B - 2)(B - 3)(B - 4)}{4(B^4 - 6B^3 + 23B^2 - 18B + 24)}
\]

and

\[
\mu_c(B, G = B - 1) = 2^{-(B+1)}.
\]
A detailed discussion is presented in the Electronic Supplementary Material, section VI. These results are in qualitative agreement with previous numerical simulations in similar systems [50, 51].

Figure 2 shows $\mu_c$ as a function of $G/B$ for several values of $B$ as calculated with the procedure indicated in the SI. For a fixed number of loci $B$ the region under the corresponding curve indicates where a single haplotype or a set of closely related haplotypes dominates the population. It is interesting to note that this region is roughly independent of $B$ and that it shrinks fast for $G/B > 1/2$. The more restrictive is the criterion for recombination (smaller $G/B$) the larger the interval of mutations leading to non-uniform distribution of alleles. This means that similarity-essential recombination turns the population less susceptible to the error-prone replication, i.e., the selective information can be kept for a broader range of values of mutation probabilities. This result is in contrast with the effects of standard recombination, which tends to lower the value of the critical mutation probability [49]. Figure 1 also shows how the distribution of haplotypes changes with $G$. It is quite noticeable the shift of the error threshold to lower values as the assortativity $G$ is reduced.

IV. DISCUSSION

Here we studied the evolution of haplotype frequencies in an infinite population with similarity-essential recombination. We assumed that recombination is not possible if the genetic distance between two sequences is greater than a certain threshold $G$. In viral populations recombination often occurs when the replication enzyme switches from one molecule to another, and reducing $G$ is equivalent to increasing the extent of similarity required for template switching. Depending on the similarity threshold the recombination is classified as precise or imprecise [24] and its value may be interpreted as a by-product of physical-chemical properties of the molecules involved in nucleic acid replication.

We derived the evolution equations for the haplotype frequencies assuming that each locus segregates independently (uniform crossover, as in [40, 41, 50]). This assumption is known not to be realistic. However, it is the simplest case to consider in order to highlight the effects of assortativity in the process of recombination. The correspondence between our equations and the quasispecies model allowed us to quantitatively determine the contribution of this type of recombination constraint to fitness, which we have shown to be equal to the
fraction of all compatible sequences in the population. It is important to highlight that, asiderom the differences in fitness resulting from this constraint, our model is essentially neutral,
since all individuals are assumed to be selectively identical. In spite of this neutrality at
the individual level, natural selection arises as an outcome of the internal dynamics, which
favors the selection of common haplotypes.

In the case of RNA viruses, most experimental studies are performed under strong selec-
tive pressures, so that only the higher fitness types are detected [24]. Adding intrinsic fitness
to the haplotypes would be a natural, though non-trivial, extension of our work. Depending
on the initial conditions and on the strength of selection, the dynamics could give rise to
a competition between the higher fitness types and those starting with large fractions of
compatible individuals, leading to interesting properties of the haplotype distributions.

One of our main results is the observation and calculation of the error catastrophe in
a population under similarity-based recombination. We demonstrated that for small $G/B$
the threshold tends to $1/4$, which is very large and independent of the genome size. At the
other extreme, where $G = B - 1$ the error threshold decreases exponentially as $2^{-(B+1)}$.
Both these behaviors are in contrast with the $1/B$ formula of the original Eigen model.

The main consequence of the information crisis is the prediction of a maximum length for
the sequence size beyond which the selective information is lost. In the context of prebi-
otic evolution, this limits our understanding about how complex molecular structures can
emerge from the prebiotic scenario. Here we have shown that replicating units subjected
to similarity-essential recombination are able to safely transmit information at higher mu-
tations through the emergence of a stable distribution of closely related haplotypes. These
haplotypes naturally arise from the dynamics without defining a priori the set with large
fitness. Because the error threshold is large and independent of the genome size for strong
assortativity there is no restriction on the amount of information that can be stored in the
system. The framework developed here provides exact results on the mutation thresholds
for any values of genome size and assortativeness that could be applied to these populations.

Taken in a broader sense, the quasispecies framework may describe the evolution of any
population of reproducing organisms [40]. In this case, similarity-based recombination is
equivalent to assortative mating. This form of non-random mating plays an important
role in the reproductive behavior of many populations. In mathematical models it can be
introduced by prohibiting mating between individuals whose phenotypes are too dissimilar.
Depending on how genotypes are related to phenotypes, mate choice may be translated into a rule to be applied directly to genotypes. For haploid individuals with $B$ biallelic loci where each locus represents a trait, assortative mating can be implemented by preventing mating between individuals whose haplotypes differ in more than $G$ loci [4, 17, 18, 20–22]. These models assume that there are many characteristics controlling mating preference. In birds, for example, important traits are the color of plumage, patterns on plumage, song length, song complexity, beak size, body size, etc. Modeling each trait by a binary label results in $2^B$ different phenotypes, where $B$ is the genome size. For example, color is blue or red, beak is small or large, song is short or long, etc. Similar associations between haplotype and phenotype have also been used to study the branching of languages [52].

Our results also have implications for neutral models of speciation where reproductive isolation results from incompatibilities between individuals at the boundary between species [21, 22]. Individuals from each species have high fitness when amongst their own kind, but lower fitness at the boundary with other species, where the fraction of compatible mates drops. This feature keeps the populations isolated and prevents mixing in the absence of environmental selection.
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Figure 1: (Online version in color.) Equilibrium haplotype frequencies for \( B = 3 \) and \( G = 0 \), \( G = 1 \) and \( G = 2 \) as a function of the mutation rate. Lines correspond, from top to bottom, to: 000 (black); 100, 010 and 001 (blue); 110, 101 and 011 (red); 111 (green). The initial condition is \( p_{000} = 1 \) and the other frequencies zero.

Figure 2: (Online version in color.) Critical mutation as a function of \( G/B \). The uniform distribution of haplotype frequencies becomes stable for \( \mu > \mu_c \). The area below the curves correspond to a stable distribution of closely related haplotypes.
Figure 1.
Figure 2.

\[ \mu_{\text{crit}} \] vs. \( \frac{G}{B} \) for various values of \( B \):
- \( B = 20 \) (green)
- \( B = 40 \) (cyan)
- \( B = 60 \) (blue)
- \( B = 80 \) (magenta)
- \( B = 100 \) (orange)