

But the right-hand side of (3.10.44) is the same as $\langle \alpha', jm | \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{V} | \alpha, jm \rangle / \langle \alpha, jm | \mathbf{J}^2 | \alpha, jm \rangle$ by (3.10.42) and (3.10.43). Moreover, the left-hand side of (3.10.43) is just $j(j+1)\hbar^2$. So

$$\langle \alpha', jm' | V_q | \alpha, jm \rangle = \frac{\langle \alpha', jm | \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{V} | \alpha, jm \rangle}{\hbar^2 j(j+1)} \langle jm' | J_q | jm \rangle, \quad (3.10.45)$$

which proves the projection theorem. \square

We will give applications of the theorem in subsequent sections.

PROBLEMS

1. Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Suppose an electron is in the spin state $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$. If s_y is measured, what is the probability of the result $\hbar/2$?
2. Consider the 2×2 matrix defined by

$$U = \frac{a_0 + i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{a}}{a_0 - i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{a}},$$

where a_0 is a real number and \mathbf{a} is a three-dimensional vector with real components.

- a. Prove that U is unitary and unimodular.
 - b. In general, a 2×2 unitary unimodular matrix represents a rotation in three dimensions. Find the axis and angle of rotation appropriate for U in terms of $a_0, a_1, a_2,$ and a_3 .
3. The spin-dependent Hamiltonian of an electron-positron system in the presence of a uniform magnetic field in the z -direction can be written as

$$H = A\mathbf{S}^{(e^-)} \cdot \mathbf{S}^{(e^+)} + \left(\frac{eB}{mc} \right) (S_z^{(e^-)} - S_z^{(e^+)}).$$

Suppose the spin function of the system is given by $\chi_+^{(e^-)} \chi_-^{(e^+)}$.

- a. Is this an eigenfunction of H in the limit $A \rightarrow 0, eB/mc \neq 0$? If it is, what is the energy eigenvalue? If it is not, what is the expectation value of H ?
 - b. Same problem when $eB/mc \rightarrow 0, A \neq 0$.
4. Consider a *spin* 1 particle. Evaluate the matrix elements of

$$S_z(S_z + \hbar)(S_z - \hbar) \quad \text{and} \quad S_x(S_x + \hbar)(S_x - \hbar).$$

5. Let the Hamiltonian of a rigid body be

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{K_1^2}{I_1} + \frac{K_2^2}{I_2} + \frac{K_3^2}{I_3} \right),$$

where \mathbf{K} is the angular momentum in the body frame. From this

expression obtain the Heisenberg equation of motion for \mathbf{K} and then find Euler's equation of motion in the correspondence limit.

6. Let $U = e^{iG_3\alpha}e^{iG_2\beta}e^{iG_3\gamma}$, where (α, β, γ) are the Eulerian angles. In order that U represent a rotation (α, β, γ) , what are the commutation rules satisfied by the G_k ? Relate \mathbf{G} to the angular momentum operators.
7. What is the meaning of the following equation:

$$U^{-1}A_kU = \sum R_{kl}A_l,$$

where the three components of \mathbf{A} are matrices? From this equation show that matrix elements $\langle m|A_k|n\rangle$ transform like vectors.

8. Consider a sequence of Euler rotations represented by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{(1/2)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= \exp\left(\frac{-i\sigma_3\alpha}{2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-i\sigma_2\beta}{2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-i\sigma_3\gamma}{2}\right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i(\alpha+\gamma)/2} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} & -e^{-i(\alpha-\gamma)/2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \\ e^{i(\alpha-\gamma)/2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} & e^{i(\alpha+\gamma)/2} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of the group properties of rotations, we expect that this sequence of operations is equivalent to a *single* rotation about some axis by an angle θ . Find θ .

9. a. Consider a pure ensemble of identically prepared spin $\frac{1}{2}$ systems. Suppose the expectation values $\langle S_x \rangle$ and $\langle S_z \rangle$ and the sign of $\langle S_y \rangle$ are known. Show how we may determine the state vector. Why is it unnecessary to know the magnitude of $\langle S_y \rangle$?
- b. Consider a mixed ensemble of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ systems. Suppose the ensemble averages $[S_x]$, $[S_y]$, and $[S_z]$ are all known. Show how we may construct the 2×2 density matrix that characterizes the ensemble.
10. a. Prove that the time evolution of the density operator ρ (in the Schrödinger picture) is given by

$$\rho(t) = \mathcal{U}(t, t_0)\rho(t_0)\mathcal{U}^\dagger(t, t_0).$$

- b. Suppose we have a pure ensemble at $t = 0$. Prove that it cannot evolve into a mixed ensemble as long as the time evolution is governed by the Schrödinger equation.
11. Consider an ensemble of spin 1 systems. The density matrix is now a 3×3 matrix. How many independent (real) parameters are needed to characterize the density matrix? What must we know in addition to $[S_x]$, $[S_y]$, and $[S_z]$ to characterize the ensemble completely?
12. An angular-momentum eigenstate $|j, m = m_{\max} = j\rangle$ is rotated by an infinitesimal angle ϵ about the y -axis. Without using the explicit form of the $d_{m' m}^{(j)}$ function, obtain an expression for the probability for the new rotated state to be found in the original state up to terms of order ϵ^2 .

13. Show that the 3×3 matrices G_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) whose elements are given by

$$(G_i)_{jk} = -i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk},$$

where j and k are the row and column indices, satisfy the angular momentum commutation relations. What is the physical (or geometric) significance of the transformation matrix that connects G_i to the more usual 3×3 representations of the angular-momentum operator J_i with J_3 taken to be diagonal? Relate your result to

$$\mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V} + \hat{\mathbf{n}}\delta\phi \times \mathbf{V}$$

under infinitesimal rotations. (*Note:* This problem may be helpful in understanding the photon spin.)

14. a. Let \mathbf{J} be angular momentum. It may stand for orbital \mathbf{L} , spin \mathbf{S} , or $\mathbf{J}_{\text{total}}$. Using the fact that J_x, J_y, J_z ($J_{\pm} \equiv J_x \pm iJ_y$) satisfy the usual angular-momentum commutation relations, prove

$$\mathbf{J}^2 = J_z^2 + J_+ J_- - \hbar J_z.$$

- b. Using (a) (or otherwise), derive the “famous” expression for the coefficient c_- that appears in

$$J_- \psi_{jm} = c_- \psi_{j, m-1}.$$

15. The wave function of a particle subjected to a spherically symmetrical potential $V(r)$ is given by

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = (x + y + 3z)f(r).$$

- a. Is ψ an eigenfunction of \mathbf{L}^2 ? If so, what is the l -value? If not, what are the possible values of l we may obtain when \mathbf{L}^2 is measured?
- b. What are the probabilities for the particle to be found in various m_l states?
- c. Suppose it is known somehow that $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ is an energy eigenfunction with eigenvalue E . Indicate how we may find $V(r)$.
16. A particle in a spherically symmetrical potential is known to be in an eigenstate of \mathbf{L}^2 and L_z with eigenvalues $\hbar^2 l(l+1)$ and $m\hbar$, respectively. Prove that the expectation values between $|lm\rangle$ states satisfy

$$\langle L_x \rangle = \langle L_y \rangle = 0, \quad \langle L_x^2 \rangle = \langle L_y^2 \rangle = \frac{[l(l+1)\hbar^2 - m^2\hbar^2]}{2}.$$

Interpret this result semiclassically.

17. Suppose a half-integer l -value, say $\frac{1}{2}$, were allowed for orbital angular momentum. From

$$L_+ Y_{1/2, 1/2}(\theta, \phi) = 0,$$

we may deduce, as usual,

$$Y_{1/2, 1/2}(\theta, \phi) \propto e^{i\phi/2} \sqrt{\sin\theta}.$$

Now try to construct $Y_{1/2, -1/2}(\theta, \phi)$; by (a) applying L_- to $Y_{1/2, 1/2}(\theta, \phi)$; and (b) using $L_- Y_{1/2, -1/2}(\theta, \phi) = 0$. Show that the two procedures lead to contradictory results. (This gives an argument against half-integer l -values for orbital angular momentum.)

18. Consider an orbital angular-momentum eigenstate $|l = 2, m = 0\rangle$. Suppose this state is rotated by an angle β about the y -axis. Find the probability for the new state to be found in $m = 0, \pm 1$, and ± 2 . (The spherical harmonics for $l = 0, 1$, and 2 given in Appendix A may be useful.)
19. What is the physical significance of the operators

$$K_+ \equiv a_+^\dagger a_-^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad K_- \equiv a_+ a_-$$

in Schwinger's scheme for angular momentum? Give the nonvanishing matrix elements of K_\pm .

20. We are to add angular momenta $j_1 = 1$ and $j_2 = 1$ to form $j = 2, 1$, and 0 states. Using either the ladder operator method or the recursion relation, express all (nine) $\{j, m\}$ eigenkets in terms of $|j_1 j_2; m_1 m_2\rangle$. Write your answer as

$$|j = 1, m = 1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|+, 0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0, +\rangle, \dots,$$

where $+$ and 0 stand for $m_{1,2} = 1, 0$, respectively.

21. a. Evaluate

$$\sum_{m=-j}^j |d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta)|^2 m$$

for any j (integer or half-integer); then check your answer for $j = \frac{1}{2}$.

- b. Prove, for any j ,

$$\sum_{m=-j}^j m^2 |d_{mm}^{(j)}(\beta)|^2 = \frac{1}{2}j(j+1)\sin^2\beta + m'^2 \frac{1}{2}(3\cos^2\beta - 1).$$

[Hint: This can be proved in many ways. You may, for instance, examine the rotational properties of J_z^2 using the spherical (irreducible) tensor language.]

22. a. Consider a system with $j = 1$. Explicitly write

$$\langle j = 1, m' | J_y | j = 1, m \rangle$$

in 3×3 matrix form.

- b. Show that for $j = 1$ only, it is legitimate to replace $e^{-iJ_y\beta/\hbar}$ by

$$1 - i\left(\frac{J_y}{\hbar}\right)\sin\beta - \left(\frac{J_y}{\hbar}\right)^2(1 - \cos\beta).$$

c. Using (b), prove

$$d^{(J=1)}(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(1 + \cos\beta) & -\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\sin\beta & \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(1 - \cos\beta) \\ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\sin\beta & \cos\beta & -\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\sin\beta \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(1 - \cos\beta) & \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\sin\beta & \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(1 + \cos\beta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

23. Express the matrix element $\langle \alpha_2 \beta_2 \gamma_2 | J_3^2 | \alpha_1 \beta_1 \gamma_1 \rangle$ in terms of a series in

$$\mathcal{D}_{mn}^J(\alpha\beta\gamma) = \langle \alpha\beta\gamma | jmn \rangle.$$

24. Consider a system made up of two spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particles. Observer A specializes in measuring the spin components of one of the particles (s_{1z} , s_{1x} and so on), while observer B measures the spin components of the other particle. Suppose the system is known to be in a spin-singlet state, that is, $S_{\text{total}} = 0$.

- What is the probability for observer A to obtain $s_{1z} = \hbar/2$ when observer B makes no measurement? Same problem for $s_{1x} = \hbar/2$.
- Observer B determines the spin of particle 2 to be in the $s_{2z} = \hbar/2$ state with certainty. What can we then conclude about the outcome of observer A's measurement if (i) A measures s_{1z} and (ii) A measures s_{1x} ? Justify your answer.

25. Consider a spherical tensor of rank 1 (that is, a vector)

$$V_{\pm 1}^{(1)} = \mp \frac{V_x \pm iV_y}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad V_0^{(1)} = V_z.$$

Using the expression for $d^{(J=1)}$ given in Problem 22, evaluate

$$\sum_{q'} d_{qq'}^{(1)}(\beta) V_{q'}^{(1)}$$

and show that your results are just what you expect from the transformation properties of $V_{x,y,z}$ under rotations about the y -axis.

- Construct a spherical tensor of rank 1 out of two different vectors $\mathbf{U} = (U_x, U_y, U_z)$ and $\mathbf{V} = (V_x, V_y, V_z)$. Explicitly write $T_{\pm 1,0}^{(1)}$ in terms of $U_{x,y,z}$ and $V_{x,y,z}$.
 - Construct a spherical tensor of rank 2 out of two different vectors \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} . Write down explicitly $T_{\pm 2, \pm 1, 0}^{(2)}$ in terms of $U_{x,y,z}$ and $V_{x,y,z}$.
27. Consider a spinless particle bound to a fixed center by a central force potential.

a. Relate, as much as possible, the matrix elements

$$\langle n', l', m' | \mp \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (x \pm iy) | n, l, m \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle n', l', m' | z | n, l, m \rangle$$

using *only* the Wigner-Eckart theorem. Make sure to state under what conditions the matrix elements are nonvanishing.

- b. Do the same problem using wave functions $\psi(\mathbf{x}) = R_{nl}(r)Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$.
28. a. Write xy , xz , and $(x^2 - y^2)$ as components of a spherical (irreducible) tensor of rank 2.
- b. The expectation value

$$Q \equiv e \langle \alpha, j, m = j | (3z^2 - r^2) | \alpha, j, m = j \rangle$$

is known as the *quadrupole moment*. Evaluate

$$e \langle \alpha, j, m' | (x^2 - y^2) | \alpha, j, m = j \rangle,$$

(where $m' = j, j-1, j-2, \dots$) in terms of Q and appropriate Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

29. A spin $\frac{3}{2}$ nucleus situated at the origin is subjected to an external inhomogeneous electric field. The basic electric quadrupole interaction may be taken to be

$$H_{\text{int}} = \frac{eQ}{2s(s-1)\hbar^2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} \right)_0 S_x^2 + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} \right)_0 S_y^2 + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} \right)_0 S_z^2 \right],$$

where ϕ is the electrostatic potential satisfying Laplace's equation and the coordinate axes are so chosen that

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial y} \right)_0 = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y \partial z} \right)_0 = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial z} \right)_0 = 0.$$

Show that the interaction energy can be written as

$$A(3S_z^2 - \mathbf{S}^2) + B(S_+^2 + S_-^2),$$

and express A and B in terms of $(\partial^2 \phi / \partial x^2)_0$ and so on. Determine the energy eigenkets (in terms of $|m\rangle$, where $m = \pm \frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}$) and the corresponding energy eigenvalues. Is there any degeneracy?